

FURMAN CENTER FOR REAL ESTATE & URBAN POLICY NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW • WAGNER SCHOOL OF PUBLIC SERVICE



Household Energy Bills and Subsidized Housing

Samuel Dastrup, Simon McDonnell, Vincent Reina March 8, 2011

American Housing Survey User Conference



What incentives do federal housing assistance programs create for whether tenants or landlords pay utility bills and for subsequent energy consumption?

Do utility billing arrangements and expenditures reported in the American Housing Survey (AHS) reflect these incentives?

Motivation

- Federal housing policy emphasizes both sustainability and affordability – residential energy consumption is key to environmental sustainability
- Household spending on utilities interacts closely with housing affordability; energy costs can represent a significant share of low-income household budgets
- HUD spends \$5 billion annually for energy in public and subsidized housing with rules affecting over 6 million units
- Energy efficiency and conservation gains may present a significant opportunity for savings or the redirection of resources

Context

- There is variation in whether landlords or tenants pay utilities in private and subsidized rental contracts
 - Levinson and Niemann (2004) show that in the private market, rents and consumption vary across billing arrangements in ways consistent with "landlord side" explanations
 - Davis (2010) demonstrates that billing arrangements influence economically inefficient energy conservation investments

Context

- There is variation in whether landlords or tenants pay utilities in private and subsidized rental contracts
- Federal and local housing subsidy program regulations can alter incentives that affect utility billing arrangements and consumption
- There is a possible tension between conservation, efficiency, and policy objectives

Federal housing assistance programs

- Public housing over 1.1 million units
- Project-Based Section 8 over 1.2 million units
- Section 8 Vouchers over 2 million units
- Low Income Housing Tax Credits over 1.7 million units

- Programs target tenant rent + utilities to be 30% of income
- When landlord pays utility bill(s)
 - □ Tenant pays 30% of income in rent
 - Landlord receives remainder of rent plus "utility allowance" from HUD
- When tenant pays utility bill(s)
 - □ Tenant pays 30% of income less utility allowance in rent
 - □ Landlord receives remainder of rent from HUD

Tenants

- Unlike private market (Levinson and Niemann), amount of rent paid does not increase with average utility costs
- Have a higher well-being when landlord pays bills unless tenant utility allowance is higher than tenant utility spending
- Expect that consumption when paying bills should be close to similar non-assisted households

Landlords

- Exposure to tenant nonpayment risk lowered by utility allowance when tenant pays bills
- Can profit from utility allowance system if increases are more likely than decreases when paying bills
- Don't have direct incentive to make energy efficiency upgrades when utility allowances reflect observed expenditure

Policy maker

Cost of ensuring tenant spends only 30% of income on housing and utility costs and consume adequate amounts of utilities ise lower if landlords pay the bills and adequacy of utility consumption is in question

Predictions

- Higher incidence of landlords paying utility bills in subsidized programs
 - Less so for landlords with less experience with assistance programs – voucher holder landlords
- Similar expenditure when tenants pay bills
 - Differ only in disposable income due to housing assistance

The American Housing Survey

- Follows units over time
- Includes self-reported
 - Billing arrangement
 - Energy expenditure
 - Energy sources for appliances
 - Housing assistance
 - Demographics

Data Limitations

- A full analysis would rely on administrative data on program participation, billing arrangements, energy consumption under both billing arrangements, household and building characteristics, and energy efficiency investments
- Errors in the self-report of housing assistance in the AHS is well documented (Shroder 2002), so we will not be able to examine a particular program in this study
 - Public housing is particularly over-reported
 - Our results remain informative

	All non- assisted renters	Non- assisted low income renters	Receive Assistance	Public	Voucher	Other
Pay Electric	0.92	0.89*	0.77 *‡	0.65* ‡†	0.90 *†	0.80* ‡†
Pay Gas	0.48	0.47*	0.37*‡	0.32* ‡†	0.49 †	0.32* ‡†
Square Feet	1,191	1,097*	1,045*‡	1,019*‡	1,114 *†	1,010*‡
Persons	2.38	2.40	2.33*‡	2.21* ‡†	2.64*‡†	2.16* ‡†
Income (\$1k)	43.13	14.99*	16.52* ‡	15.43 * ^{‡†}	16.81* ‡†	17.69* ‡
N	32,601	12,565	4,118	1,654	1,242	1,222

NYU's Furman Center for Real Estate and Urban Policy

	All non- assisted renters	Non- assisted low income renters	Receive Assistance	Public	Voucher	Other
Pay Electric	0.92	0.89*	0.77 *‡	0.65*‡†	0.90* †	0.80*‡†
Pay Gas	0.48	0.47*	0.37*‡	0.32*‡†	0.49 †	0.32*‡†
Square Feet	1,191	1,097*	1,045*‡	1,019*‡	1,114*†	1,010*‡
Persons	2.38	2.40	2.33*‡	2.21*‡†	2.64*‡†	2.16* ‡†
Income (\$1k)	43.13	14.99*	16.52*‡	15.43* ‡†	16.81* ‡†	17.69 *‡
N NYLPs Eu	32,601	12,565	4,118	1,654	1,242	1,222

	All non- assisted renters	Non- assisted low income renters	Receive Assistance	Public	Voucher	Other
Pay Electric	0.92	0.89*	0.77*‡	0.65*‡†	0.90* †	0.80* ‡†
Pay Gas	0.48	0.47*	0.37*‡	0.32*‡†	0.49 [†]	0.32*‡†
Square Feet	1,191	1,097*	1,045*‡	1,019*‡	1,114*†	1,010*‡
Persons	2.38	2.40	2.33*‡	2.21*‡†	2.64* ^{‡†}	2.16* ‡†
Income (\$1k)	43.13	14.99 *	16.52**	15.43* ^{‡†}	16.81* ‡†	1 7.69 *‡
N NVL2s Fu	32,601	12,565	4,118	1,654	1,242	1,222

Conditional Means

We control for

- □ Fuel and appliance variables
- Unit and building characteristics
- Occupant characteristics
- □ Geography

Conditional Means – *Tenant pays electricity*

	All renters	All renters	<80% LMI
GovAssit	-0.107***		
	(0.018)		
Public		-0.206***	-0.198***
		(0.030)	(0.029)
OtherAssist		-0.074***	-0.054***
		(0.018)	(0.016)
Voucher		-0.020*	-0.014
		(0.012)	(0.013)
Qualified	-0.014**	-0.015**	-0.010*
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)

Conditional Means – Tenant pays gas

	All renters	All renters	<80% LMI
GovAssit	-0.064***		
	(0.014)		
Public		-0.097***	-0.101***
		(0.027)	(0.027)
OtherAssist		-0.054***	-0.051***
		(0.014)	(0.016)
Voucher		-0.034**	-0.041**
		(0.015)	(0.016)
Qualified	-0.009	-0.009	-0.010
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.007)



Average monthly electricity bills of renters by government assistance

	All non- assisted renters	Non- assisted low income renters	Receive Assistance	Public	Voucher	Other
Monthly Electric	77.7	74.5*	76.1	68.8* ‡†	86.9* ‡†	71.9 *‡
N	29,866	11,210	3,175	1,081	1,114	980
Pay Gas	61.1	61.8	61.3	57.5	69.9* ‡†	53.7* ‡†
N	15,387	5,799	1,487	518	586	383

	All non- assisted renters	Non- assisted low income renters	Receive Assistance	Public	Voucher	Other
Monthly Electric	77.7	74.5*	76.1	68.8* ‡†	86.9* ‡†	71.9 *‡
N	29,866	11,210	3,175	1,081	1,114	980
Pay Gas	61.1	61.8	61.3	57.5	69.9* ‡†	53.7*‡†
N	15,387	5,799	1,487	518	586	383

Conditional Means – *Tenant* expenditures (logs) on electricity

	All renters	All renters	<80% LMI
GovAssit	-0.005		
	(0.013)		
Public		-0.031	-0.030
		(0.021)	(0.023)
OtherAssist		-0.014	-0.006
		(0.020)	(0.020)
Voucher		0.028	0.033*
		(0.017)	(0.018)
Qualified	-0.010	-0.010	-0.006
	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.008)

Conditional Means – Tenant expenditures (logs) on gas

	All renters	All renters	<80% LMI
GovAssit	-0.018		
	(0.022)		
Public		-0.045	-0.070
		(0.035)	(0.037)
OtherAssist		-0.015	-0.011
		(0.033)	(0.040)
Voucher		0.003	0.009
		(0.027)	(0.029)
Qualified	-0.004	0.004	-0.001
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)

Summary

- Under federal housing assistance programs
 - Tenants prefer landlord pays utilities unless utility allowance sufficiently exceeds utility expenditures
 - Landlords prefer to pay utilities if allowances are more likely to be adjusted up than down – have little direct incentive for energy efficiency investments
 - Policy goals of adequate utility consumption and limited housing costs may be met less expensively when landlords pay

Summary

- Using the American Housing Survey we found that
 - Differences in proportions of households paying for electricity and gas separately persisted at 10, 4, and 5 percent lower for public, voucher, and other assisted households after controlling for unit, building, household and geographic differences
 - Unadjusted differences in monthly electricity and gas bills (for households paying bills) were explained by differences in characteristics rather than receipt of government assistance

Future Research

- Analysis of building-level utility costs for each of these portfolios would provide a clearer and likely more nuanced picture of the differences in energy use and costs across the assisted housing programs and across local implementations of program guidelines
- This analysis would generate suggestions for program design adjustments to improve energy and economic efficiency in federal housing assistance programs